# California Integrated Waste Management Board

# Board Meeting March 15-16, 2005 AGENDA ITEM 14

### **ITEM**

Consideration Of A Request To Change The Base Year To 2000 For The Previously Approved Source Reduction And Recycling Element For The City Of Half Moon Bay, San Mateo County

### I. ISSUE/PROBLEM STATEMENT

The City of Half Moon Bay (City) has requested to change its base year to 2000 using the data from its previously approved 2000 generation-based study. The City has requested a 45 percent diversion rate for the 2000 new base year. With the California Integrated Waste Management Board (Board) staff-recommended new base year, the City's diversion rate would be 43 percent for 2000.

A complete listing of the City's Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE) implemented programs is provided in Attachment 1 of this agenda item.

### II. ITEM HISTORY

The Board accepted staff's 1999/2000 SRRE Biennial Review findings and accepted the City's 2000 generation study at its February 11, 2003, Board meeting

### III. OPTIONS FOR THE BOARD

- 1. The Board may approve the City's base-year change as originally submitted.
- 2. The Board may approve the City's base-year change with staff's and/or Board-suggested modifications.
- 3. The Board may disapprove the City's base-year change.

### IV. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Board staff recommends the Board adopt option No. 2: approve the City's base-year change with staff's and/or Board-suggested modifications.

### V. ANALYSIS

### A. Kev Issues and Findings

### 1. Background

PRC Sections 41031 (cities) and 41331 (counties) require information submitted by jurisdictions on the quantities of solid waste generated, diverted, and disposed of, to include data that are as accurate as possible. At its March 1997 meeting, the Board approved methods for jurisdictions to use for improving the accuracy of their base-year generation data. One of the approved methods allows a jurisdiction to establish a more current base year.

### 2. Basis for staff's analysis

Staff's analysis is based on the following information.

### **Existing Jurisdiction Conditions:**

Diversion Rate Data (Percent)*					Key Jurisdiction Conditions			
					Waste Stream Data			
Base Year	1999	2000	2001	2002	Pounds waste generated per person per day (ppd)	Population	Non-Residential Waste Stream Percentage	Residential Waste Stream Percentage
2000	ND	43%	43%	42%	20.5	11,300	85	15

<sup>\*</sup> These values are based on the City's proposed 2000 base year change discussed in the "Base Year Change" section below. ND means "not determined".

**Jurisdiction's geographic location:** This urban city is located on the shores of the Pacific Ocean in San Mateo County, about 20 miles south of San Francisco. It is a popular tourist destination and host to a number of well-attended festivals.

(Note: The high pounds-per-person-per-day is largely due to the large tourist industry, as reflected in the high non-residential percentage. There are over a dozen lodging accommodations, including a 260 unit Ritz-Carlton and a State Campground. In addition, there is the popular 3-day Art and Pumpkin Festival in October which attracts 250,000 attendees.)

### **Base-Year Change:**

The City has submitted a letter (Attachment 2) requesting to change its base year from 1990 to 2000, using its year 2000 generation study that was approved by the Board on February 11, 2003 (Agenda Item Number 30). The City's original new base year modification request certification form is included as Attachment 3a.

After discussions with Board staff, the City reached the conclusion that it would be in its best interest to request that the data from its previously approved 2000 generation study be used to establish a new 2000 base year. The City, as well as Board staff, considers the data that were used in the approved 2000 generation study to be more representative of the City's waste stream and diversion efforts than what was estimated in the 1991 base-year generation study. Since the methodology in completing a generation study and a new base year study, and staff's analysis of the studies, are identical, with the only difference being that a generation study is often conducted on an annual basis, this request appears reasonable. Additionally, staff verified that the data included in the generation study are representative of a normal year for the City, and therefore are adequate data for establishing a new base year.

To estimate the waste generation in 2000, the City used disposal data from the Board's Disposal Reporting System and collected diversion information from the activities listed below. There was no extrapolation of diversion data. Staff conducted a site visit in December 2002 to verify these activities.

Program	Description
Residential:	
Residential curbside recycling collection	The curbside recycling program started in 1989. The weekly manual collection program serves single-family and multi-family dwellings. Currently the program collects aluminum cans, PET and glass bottles.
Residential curbside greenwaste collection	The franchise hauler has provided greenwaste collection service to its single-family home residents since 1997.
Residential backyard composting	San Mateo County has a backyard-composting program that has been available to City residents since 1993. The program includes the distribution of backyard composting bins at a discount as well as information instructing the residents in the proper use of the bins.
Residential Buy-back Centers	There is one certified buy-back center currently in operation within the City. There has been a buy-back center in the City since 1987.
Residential Self-haul Greenwaste Program	The Ox Mountain Landfill accepts clean greenwaste from residential customers at a reduced rate.
Commercial:	
Commercial recycling collection	Commercial recycling collection is offered to all businesses by the City's franchise hauler and has been ongoing since 1990. In addition, some commercial businesses were found to be recycling large amounts of cardboard independent of the franchise hauler's commercial collection program.
Commercial Self-haul Greenwaste Program	The Ox Mountain Landfill accepts clean greenwaste from commercial customers at a reduced rate.
Concrete and Asphalt Recycling	Concrete and asphalt debris is used at Ox Mountain for road and deck surfaces as well as for alternative daily cover (ADC) and for other beneficial uses at the landfill such as building winter deck and road surfaces since at least 1999.
Alternate Daily Cover	Greenwaste, concrete and asphalt debris are used at Ox Mountain Landfill as ADC.
School Recycling Programs	Carbrillo School District uses mulching lawn mowers and grass cycled 32.4 tons. The County offered teacher workshops on school garden and compost training. Also, the County sent school district staff and teachers newsletters promoting recycling.
Transfer Station Salvage	The local transfer stations salvage metal, wood, white goods, paper, OCC, plastic, clean dirt and green material that come in for disposal. Most residents do not use the local transfer stations because Ox Mountain Sanitary Landfill is much closer.

## **Certification Changes**

The City appears to have programs that support the proposed diversion rate. Attachment 3b is the certification prepared by Board staff that provides additional details to support the Board staff's recommendations for the new base year. Attachment 4 (Table A) is a summary of the changes showing what was originally claimed, Board staff's findings, and the basis for the deductions and additions. With these changes Board staff recommends the request for a new base year be approved.

### Base Year Analysis

Half Moon Bay	Disposal	Diversion	Generation
Old Base Year Tons (1991)	22,030	1,710	23,740
Jurisdiction New Base Year Tons 2000	23,887	19,797	43,684
Board Staff Recommended New 2000 Base Year Tons	23,887	18,292	42,179

2000 Diversion Rate Using 1991 Base Year	Jurisdiction Claimed Diversion Rate for New Base Year	Board Staff Recommended Diversion Rate for New Base Year
25%	45%	43 %

In addition to any deductions already made by the City and Board staff, the Board has authority to make additional deductions to the diversion tonnage. Public Resources Code Sections 41031, 41033, 41331, and 41333 provide that jurisdictions' waste characterization components (which contain the waste generation studies) shall include data that are as accurate as possible. These statutes provide the basis for allowing jurisdictions to request, and for the Board to approve, new base years. Consequently, in considering new base year requests, the standard used by the Board is whether or not the new base year is as accurate as possible. To the extent that the Board determines that a portion of the new base year is not accurate, the Board may approve the remainder of the new base year, with the inaccurate portion removed.

### 3. Findings

The City's written request asking to use the data from its previously approved 2000 generation study to establish a new 2000 base year is included in Attachment 2 of this agenda item. Staff believes the City has adequately documented its request. For this reason, staff is recommending approval of the City's new base year request.

### **B.** Environmental Issues

Based on available information, staff is not aware of any environmental issues related to this item.

### C. Program/Long Term Impacts

Improving the accuracy of the jurisdiction's base year will lead to a more accurate statewide measurement.

### **D.** Stakeholder Impacts

Approving the City's new base year will enable the City to more accurately measure the success of its diversion programs and therefore to more accurately report its progress to the Board.

### E. Fiscal Impacts

No fiscal impact to the Board results from this item.

### F. Legal Issues

As discussed above, this item represents the process for implementing PRC Sections 41031 and 41331 that require jurisdictions to submit data on quantities of waste generated, diverted and disposed that are as accurate as possible.

## G. Environmental Justice Community Setting

2000 Census Data – Demographics for City of Half Moon Bay									
%White	%Hispanic	% Black	% Native	%Asian	%Pacific	%Other			
			American		Islander				
66.6	23.2	3.8	.02	3.3	0.1	0.2			

2000 Census Data – Economic Data for City of Half Moon Bay										
Median annual income*	Mean (average) income*	% individuals below poverty level								
78,473	106,541	6.1								

<sup>\*</sup>Per household

- Environmental Justice Issues. According to the jurisdictional representative, there are no environmental justice issues in this community related to this item
- Efforts at Environmental Justice Outreach. The City uses outreach print material from the County's RecycleWorks program that is printed in English and Spanish. The City provides a link on the City's website to the County's RecycleWorks website which has a Spanish language component.
- **Project Benefits.** Improving the accuracy of this jurisdiction's base year will lead to a more accurate statewide measurement.

### H. 2001 Strategic Plan

This item supports Strategic Plan goal 2, objective 3 (Support local jurisdictions' ability to reach and maintain California's waste diversion mandates), strategy (D) (Assess and assist local governments' efforts to implement programs and reduce disposal, taking corrective action as needed) by assessing the jurisdiction's efforts to implement programs and reduce disposal.

### VI. FUNDING INFORMATION

This item does not require any Board fiscal action.

### VII. ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Program Listing for the City of Half Moon Bay
- 2. Request Letter from the City of Half Moon Bay
- 3a. City of Half Moon Bay's Original Base Year Modification Request Certification
- 3b. Previously Approved Board Staff Recommended Base Year Modification Request Certification
- 4. Table B: Site Visit Verification Findings for the City of Half Moon Bay
- 5. Resolution Number 2005-72

### VIII. STAFF RESPONSIBLE FOR ITEM PREPARATION

A. Program Staff: Keir Furey

Phone: (916) 341-6258

B. Legal Staff: Elliot Block

Phone: (916) 341-6080

C. Administrative Staff: N/A Phone: N/A

### IX. WRITTEN SUPPORT AND/OR OPPOSITION

### A. Support

City of Half Moon Bay.

## **B.** Opposition

No known opposition.

## **Program Listing for Half Moon Bay**

Page 1 Date Printed January 25,2005

Program Code	Existed	Sicted?	Pre 1995 Start	1995 Status	1996 Status	1997 Status	1998 Status	1999 Status	2000 Status	2001 Status	2002 Status
1010-SR-BCM Backyard and On-Sit	Y e Compos	Y ting/Mulc	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
1020-SR-BWR Business Waste Red	Y uction Pro	Y gram	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
1030-SR-PMT Procurement	N	Υ	1999	PF	PF	PF	PF 5	SI	SO	SO	SO
1050-SR-GOV Government Source	N Reduction	Y Program	1990 s	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
1060-SR-MTE Material Exchange, T	Y hrift Shop	Y	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2000-RC-CRB Residential Curbside	Υ	Υ	1989	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2010-RC-DRP Residential Drop-Off	Υ	Υ	1989	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2020-RC-BYB Residential Buy-Back	Y	Υ	1989	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2030-RC-OSP Commercial On-Site	Y Pickup	Υ	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
2040-RC-SFH Commercial Self-Hau	Y ıl	Υ	1990	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO

#### Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing AO = Alternative Ongoing

SI = Selected Implemented

AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist

city was not incorporated or

#### Reason Code

- 1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities
- online.

  2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.

  3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.

  4 = Insufficient funding.

D = Dropped DE = Dropped in Earlier Year NI = Selected and Not Implemented

PF = Planned Future NA = Program did not exist

5 = Insufficient staffing.

- 6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
- 7 = Sufficient diversion without selected
- program. 8 = Lack of markets necessary to support

Application: PARIS

## **Program Listing for Half Moon Bay**

Page 2 Date Printed January 25,2005

			Pre 1995	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Program Code	Existed	Slcted?	Start	Status							
2050-RC-SCH School Recycling Pro	N ograms	Υ	1995	SI	SO						
2060-RC-GOV Government Recycli	Y ng Progran	Y ns	1990	SO							
2070-RC-SNL Special Collection Se	Y easonal (re	Y gular)	1992	SO							
2080-RC-SPE Special Collection Ev	N vents	Υ	1995	SI	SO						
3000-CM-RCG Residential Curbside	N Greenwas	Y ste Collec	1997 ction	PF 1	PF 1	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
3010-CM-RSG Residential Self-haul	N I Greenwas	N ste	1998	NA	NA	NA	Al	AO	AO	AO	AO
3020-CM-COG Commercial On-Site	N Greenwas	Y te Pick-u	NA p	PF 1	PF 1	PF	PF 99	NI 99	NI 99	NI 99	NI 99
3030-CM-CSG Commercial Self-Ha	<b>N</b> ul Greenwa	N aste	1998	NA	NA	NA	AI	AO	AO	AO	AO
4010-SP-SLG Sludge (sewage/indu	N ustrial)	N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	PF
4030-SP-WHG White Goods	N	N	1993	AO	AO	АО	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO

#### Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing AO = Alternative Ongoing

SI = Selected Implemented

AI = Alternative Implemented
M = Regional Agency did not exist

city was not incorporated or

#### Reason Code

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities

online.

2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.

3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.

4 = Insufficient funding.

D = Dropped DE = Dropped in Earlier Year NI = Selected and Not Implemented

PF = Planned Future NA = Program did not exist

5 = Insufficient staffing.

6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.

7 = Sufficient diversion without selected

program. 8 = Lack of markets necessary to support

Application: PARIS

## **Program Listing for Half Moon Bay**

Page 3 Date Printed January 25,2005

Dua sua sa Ca da	Fuiata d	Clata do		1995	1996		1998			2001	
Program Code	Existed		Start	Status							
4040-SP-SCM Scrap Metal	N	N	1993	AO							
4060-SP-CAR Concrete/Asphalt/Ru	N ibble	N	1999	NA	NA	NA	NA	Al	AO	AO	AO
4100-SP-OTH Other Special Waste	Y	Υ	1990	SO							
5000-ED-ELC Electronic (radio ,TV	Y , web, hotli	Y nes)	1990	SO							
5010-ED-PRN Print (brochures, flye	Y ers, guides,	Y news art	1990 icles)	SO							
5020-ED-OUT Outreach (tech assis fairs, field trips)	Y stance, pres	Y sentations	1990 s, awards,	SO							
5030-ED-SCH Schools (education a	Y and curricu	Y lum)	1990	SO							
6010-PI-EIN Economic Incentives	N	Υ	1990	SO							
6020-PI-ORD Ordinances	N	Υ	1998	PF	PF	PF	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO
7000-FR-MRF MRF	N	Υ	1989	SO							

#### Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing

AO = Alternative Ongoing SI = Selected Implemented

AI = Alternative Implemented M = Regional Agency did not exist

city was not incorporated or

D = Dropped DE = Dropped in Earlier Year

NI = Selected and Not Implemented

PF = Planned Future

NA = Program did not exist

#### Reason Code

- 1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities
- 2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.
- 3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.
   4 = Insufficient funding.
- 5 = Insufficient staffing.

- 6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.
- 7 = Sufficient diversion without selected
- 8 = Lack of markets necessary to support

Application: PARIS

## **Program Listing for Half Moon Bay**

Page 4 Date Printed January 25,2005

Program Code	Existed	Slcted?	Pre 1995 Start	1995 Status	1996 Status	1997 Status	1998 Status	1999 Status	2000 Status	2001 Status	2002 Status
7010-FR-LAN Landfill	Υ	Υ	1992	SO							
7020-FR-TST Transfer Station	N	Y	1997	NI 6	NI 6	SI	SO	SO	SO	SO	SO
7030-FR-CMF Composting Facility	N	Υ	NA	PF 1	PF 1	PF	PF 99	PF 99	PF	PF	PF
7040-FR-ADC Alternative Daily Cov	N	N	1995	AI	AO	АО	AO	AO	AO	AO	AO
8020-TR-TRS Tires	N	N	1995	Al	AO						
9000-HH-PMF Permanent Facility	N	Υ	1992	SO							
9010-HH-MPC Mobile or Periodic Co	Y	Υ	1990	SO	D 7						
9020-HH-CSC Curbside Collection	Y	Υ	1990	SO							
9030-HH-WSE Waste Exchange	N	N	1995	Al	AO						
9040-HH-EDP Education Programs	Υ	Υ	1990	SO							
9050-HH-OTH Other HHW	N	N	2002	NA	AI						

#### Add any additional programs below

#### Status Code Legend

SO = Selected Ongoing

AO = Alternative Ongoing SI = Selected Implemented

AI = Alternative Implemented

M = Regional Agency did not exist city was not incorporated or

D = Dropped DE = Dropped in Earlier Year NI = Selected and Not Implemented

PF = Planned Future

NA = Program did not exist

#### Reason Code

1 = Delays in bringing diversion facilities

2 = Unavoidable regulatory delays.

3 = Existing contractual or legal problems.

4 = Insufficient funding.

5 = Insufficient staffing.

### 6 = Lack of cooperation from other entities.

7 = Sufficient diversion without selected

8 = Lack of markets necessary to support

From: Paul Nagengast [mailto:pnagengast@ci.half-moon-bay.ca.us]
Sent: Wednesday, December 08, 2004 4:37 PM
To: Furey, Keir
Subject: RE: Future diversion measurement for Half Moon Bay

Dear Keir Furey,

Please accept this notice as a formal request to use the 2000 generation study results to establish a new base year for the City of Half Moon Bay. This would replace the present base year established in 1991.

It is understood that this change will not effect the City's 1066 Time Extension being reviewed by the Board in January 2005. Please let me know if you need further assistance in processing this request.

Thanks for your assistance,

Paul T. Nagengas

Director of Public Works/City Engineer

City of Half Moon Bay

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

## **Base Year Modification Request Certification**

### Part 1: Generation Study - No Extrapolation Diversion Data

To request a substitution for a previously approved base-year used in calculating the diversion rate for your jurisdiction, please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance 1001 I Street, 9th Floor PO Box 4025 Sacramento, CA 95812-4025

### **General Instructions:**

Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your request to the Board.	
☑ 1. Use a recent generation-based study to calculate our current reporting-year	
generation amount, but not officially change our existing Board-approved base year.	
2. Use a recent generation-based study to officially change our	
existing Board-approved base year to a new base year.	
The cells on these sheets are protected except for the ones that need information. If you	ou have problems
using these sheets, please contact your Office of Local Assistance representative.	

Section I: Jurisdiction Information an	d Certificat	ion		<b>-</b>	
All respondents must complete this section.	u ochanicai		P*		to at the
I certify under penalty of perjury that the infor knowledge, and that I am authorized to make				rrect to t	the best of my
Jurisdiction Name		County			
Half Moon Bay		San Mateo			
Authorized Signature	Title	Public Wor	s Directo	f	
Elled While FOR BOWNE					
Type/Print Name of Person Signing	Date Phone ( )				
Bonnie Farrell		12/11/01 650-726-8270			8270
Person Completing This Form (please print or type)		Title Project Manager			
Mark White			Aggreea	Ngjar	And the same
Affiliation: Pacific Waste Consulting Group					
Mailing Address	С	ity	State		ZIP Code
5714 Foisom Blvd. #240	Sacramento		CA	9	5819
E-mail address <u>mark@pwcg.net</u>					

Section II: Information for New General	tion-Based St	udy for Existing or New Base Year	
Attach additional sheets if necessary—	- reference ea	ch response to the appropriate cell	number (e.g., 4).
Note: New base years must be represente	ative of a juriso	diction's disposal and diversion.	
Current Board-approved base-year:		2. Proposed new generation-based str	udy year:
1991		2000	
•		·	
3. Explain how the proposed generation s	tudy year is re	presentative of average annual jurisdic	tion disposal and
diversion: The diversion in the City is not accurately	calculated by	the Adjustment Method, Because of thi	is problem the
diversion is measured each year. The dive			
reflect other years. A new diversion surve			. 2000. 7 ma may not
	y to plainted to		
4. Enter your diversion rates below.			
Diversion rate calculated using		Diversion rate calculated using	
existing base year	a. 25 %	new generation-based study	b. 45 %
For existing base year	11.5	For new generation based study	11.6
pounds/person/day based on		pounds/person/day based on	
generation		generation	
Residential Non-Residenti		Residential Non-Reside	
generation 29 % Generation	71 %	generation 15% % generati	
Population existing generation-based s		Population new generation-based s	
5. If there is an increase between 4a and			
current diversion implementation efforts. If			
pounds/person/day, please explain how the			tation efforts and
provide any examples, e.g. change in juris The new diversion rate is consistent with o	saiction's demo	ographics.	study includes
diversion from City and hauler programs a	surreill diversit	from an extensive business survey that	: study includes } included on-site waste
audits of the larger generators in the City.	The study incl	udes diversion from programs that are	NOT reflected in the
original base year.	The study inci	ados diversión nom programo macaro	110 / 10/100104 111 1110
iongina saccificati			
·			

There is a significant increase between the existing and the proposed diversion rates. The increased diversion rate is attributed to newly implemented or expanded diversion programs as well as the identification of diversion that was missed in the original base year. The new or expanded programs include City asphalt recycling and grasscycling at the City maintained schools. The diversion missed in the base year include internal diversion and source reduction methods among the larger generators in the City. This diversion accounts for the majority of the City's diversion efforts.

7. Disposal Tonnage: (enter values)	4417	19470	23887 🕏 💮	
	Residential	Non-Residential	Total	
Please select the ONE choice below that best explain	s your <mark>disposal d</mark>	ata and complete the required	tables.	
a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Dispo	sal Reporting Sys	tem (No explanation required.	Go to Section 8.)	•
b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent aud	lit of hauler and se	elf-haul tonnage. (Please com	plete Reporting Year Tonnage Request a	nd Modification
Certification sheet found at http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/l	gcentral/forms/ryt	nmdrq.doc)		
C. Some Disposal Reporting System data were	corrected. (Pleas	se complete Reporting Year To	onnage Modification Request and Certific	ation sheet found
at http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/lgcentral/forms/rytnmdrq.o	ioc)			
	<del></del>			

8. In the table below, list the summarized diversion activities, and diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. (Note: The Board expects the jurisdictions to be able to provide all back-up documentation, if requested) Include type of record and location—for example, weight tickets from transfer stations. This section should capture all diversion tonnage (form will perform all addition calculations). If any diversion is from restricted wastes, [agricultural wastes,inert solids (e.g., concrete, asphalt, dirt, etc.), white goods, and scrap metal] please identify those programs/waste types and fill out section 10. Please mark as Attachment 8 all copies of survey forms.

*Please provide detailed non-Residential w	aste audit inforr	nation in Section 9	•		
Pirerien Agivin  Please use the Beard's pregram year The program type glossary a critine at intro/www.clwmb.ca.gov/locentra/ban s/codes/reduce.htm	G.	Relative Percent to Total Generation (AT Jose July Generation)	operation wimplitiple materials in on box)	prefile convergent lesic userally and Source	A Type of Technical and Techni
Residential Activities: Source Reduction				and a second of the second of	
Elackyard composting					
Grasscycling state and a second		0.0%			1:
Other Residential source reduction  Yard Sales	97	02%	Household items	. 35 tons/yard sale (CIWMB)	City records and survey
Enter program name		7 20:0% TEX			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Subtotal Residential Source	4				
Reduction	97	0.2%			*
Recycling	Markety Co. 19 Co.				
Curbside Recycling ****	1042		Paper, glass, plastics, metals	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Buyback centers					
Prop-off centers	99	0.2%	Glass, plastics, metals, paper	Actual tonnage	DOR
Other Residential recycling (list each	h program se	eparately)	e green to be a server of the		
Transfer Station Diversion	13	0.0%	OCC, paper, plastics, metals, glass, C&D, and greenwaste	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
Enter program name		THE STATE OF THE S			1

Plygration Activity's Survey of the Activity's Survey of the Activity of the A	Actual tons		Specific material type(s) (List operation w/multiple materials in one hos)	Specific conversion factor used (if a same same same same same same same sa	Type of record and location of record
	Page 1		þox)		Carlotte Marketine
Please use the Board's program types:	1,021		一致多数证 种植的形式		
The program type glossary is online at:	110 (A) T.	(A/Total Generation)		distribution of the second	
The program type glossary is online at http://www.cwmb.ca.gov/igcentral/pan		All and the second			
s/codes/reduce.html			Apple of Galletine		1000
Subtotal Non-Residential Recycling					
	9736	22.3%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Composting	4.6	7 Mar 1			
Non-Residential Waste Audits!	·			See Section 9	
Other non-Residential composting (	list each prog	ram separately)			
		months and a second second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Transfer Station Self Haul Greenwaste		No. of Line	6	A -41 4	Marilan Danasida
Landfill Self Haul Greenwaste	8 1705	3.9%	Greenwaste Greenwaste	Actual tonnage Actual tonnage	Hauler Records Hauler Records
Enter program name	1705	7.00 A	Oreenwasie	Actual tormage	naulei Recolus
Enter program name		72.75	<del></del>		
Enter program name	·	212158	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		* /2/44/45 P###C 14/10/44/70			<u> </u>
Subtotal Non-Residential	4740				
Composting	1713	3.9%			
State and Table 1994	Arrest Laboratory	decided.			
Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion	16518 TH	37.8%	4. 化分类化物学 原始	STATE OF THE STATE	Parket Ar
Residential/Non- Residential		,			
Diversion Activities	Section of the second	TOTAL PRODUCT OF THE PRODUCT			
-ADG stage of the	719		Greenwaste and C&D	Actual tonnage	DRS
Sludge # 17,5 # 200 H	Ī	Here I and the			
Scrap metal at Scrap metal	350	0.8%	COO	A street to a series	United December
Construction and demolition	350		ICAD	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Landfill salvage	RECOVER FOR S	4,400,300,430			
Subtotal Residential/Non-Residential					· .
diversion	1069	2.4%			
			1	1	
Total Diversion Tons	19797	45.3%		<b>4</b>	
Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7	23887	54.7%		İ	1 '
	İ				
Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis)	43684				
15th Selleration Folia (SIA DIS)	10007	1	I	<del></del>	<u> </u>

Diversion Activity	Actual tons	Relative Percent to		Specific conversion factor used (if	Type of record and
不能的 网络 医二甲基酚二甲	Y reset	Total Generation	operation w/multiple meterials in one box)	any) and Source	
And a Company of	i de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de	2000	<b>(1995),是现代的</b>	and the Carry Sandania	
Please use the Board's program types		(A/Total	ALTERNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE		
The program type glossary is online at:	intalia) See	Generation)		The first part of the second	
The program type glossary is online at: http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/lgcentral/par			1. S. T. L. Market		
s/codes/reduce.htm					45cm
Subtotal Residential Recycling	1154	2.6%			
Composting					
Green waste (rop-off	571 388	1.8%	Greenwaste	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Curpside green waste	300	F. 70.9%	Greenwaste	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Other Residential composting (list ea	ah program			<u> </u>	ļ
Other Mesidentian Combostitia first se	scii broâtătii	sahatatai y j			
Enter program name Enter program name		10702505311 7*50257			
		ingentification of the second			
Enter program name Enter program name		**************************************	l		
Enter program name		17.77	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Subtotal Residential Composting				`	
Suproras Residentias Compostriig	959	2.2%			
		4/9			
Subtotal Residential Diversion		5.1%	The state of the s		15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 -
2. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 14. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15		5.1%			
Non-Residential Activities:		<b>5.1%</b> 35.7			
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction	2210			The second property of the second second second second second second second second second second second second	
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits!	3850	8.8%		The second property of the second second second second second second second second second second second second	
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction	3850	8.8%		The second property of the second second second second second second second second second second second second	
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits!	3850	9.8%	ately)	See Section 9	
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits Other non-Residential source reduct	3850 Ion (list each	8.8%	ately)	See Section 9	
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct	3850 Ion (list each	5.8% program separa	ately)	See Section 9  35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors	City records
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained	3850 lon (list each	8.8% program separ 0.1% 2.6%	ately) Greenwaste	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8	
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys	3850 lon (list each	8.8% program separ 0.1% 2.6%	ately) Greenwaste	See Section 9  35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors	City records Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from	3850 Ion (list each 32 1156	5.8% program separa	Greenwaste See Section 9	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors	City records
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys	3850 Ion (list each 32 1156	8.8% program separ 0.1% 2.6%	Greenwaste See Section 9	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors	City records Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name	3850 Ion (list each 32 1156	5:8% program separa 0:1% 2:6%	Greenwaste See Section 9	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors	City records Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction	3850 Ion (list each 32 1156	5:8% program separa 0:1% 2:6%	Greenwaste See Section 9	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors	City records Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31	8.8% program separa 0.1% 2.6%	Greenwaste See Section 9 See Section 10	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors	City records Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31 5069	8.8% program separa 0.1% 2.6% 11.6%	Greenwaste See Section 9	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors	City records Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31 5069	8.8% program separa 0.1% 2.6% 11.6%	Greenwaste See Section 9 See Section 10	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8	City records Phone surveys Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31 5069	8.8% program separa 0.1% 2.6% 11.6%	Greenwaste See Section 9 See Section 10	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8	City records Phone surveys Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31 5069	3.8% program separa 0.1% 2.6% 11.6%	Greenwaste See Section 9 See Section 10	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8	City records Phone surveys Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential recycling (list Government Source Reduction Commercial from Hauler	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31 5069 114 each progra	3.8% program separa 0.1% 2.6% 11.6%	Greenwaste See Section 9 See Section 10	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See Section 9	City records Phone surveys Phone surveys
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct  Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential recycling (list	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31  5069 114 each progra	8:8% program separa 0.1% 2:6% 11.6% 11.6% separately)	Greenwaste See Section 9 See Section 10	35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See Attachment #8	City records Phone surveys Phone surveys City records
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential recycling (list Government Source Reduction Commercial from Hauler Business Recycling - Obtained from phone surveys	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31  5069 114 each progra	2.6% 2.6% 11.6%	Greenwaste See Section 9 See Section 10	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8  See Attachment #8  See Attachment #10 Actual tonnage	City records Phone surveys Phone surveys City records
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential recycling (list Government Source Reduction Commercial from Hauler Business Recycling - Obtained from	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31  5069 114 each progra 38 1397 842	8.8% program separa 0.1% 2.6% 11.6% 11.6% 11.6%	Building Relocation Paper, glass, plastics, metals See Section 9	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8  See Attachment #10 Actual tonnage See List of Conversion Factors	City records Phone surveys Phone surveys  City records Hauler Records
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential recycling (list Government Source Reduction Commercial from Hauler Business Recycling - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31  5069 114 each progra 38 1397	8:8% program separa 0.1% 2:6% 11.6% 11.6% separately)	Building Relocation Paper, glass, plastics, metals See Section 9 See Section 10	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8  See Attachment #10 Actual tonnage See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8	City records Phone surveys Phone surveys  City records Hauler Records
Non-Residential Activities: Source Reduction Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential source reduct Schools Business Source Reduction - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from phone surveys Enter program name Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Source Reduction Recycling Non-Residential Waste Audits! Other non-Residential recycling (list Government Source Reduction Commercial from Hauler Business Recycling - Obtained from phone surveys Restriced Waste - Obtained from	3850 lon (list each 32 1156 31  5069 114 each progra 38 1397 842	8.8% program separa 0.1% 2.6% 11.6% 11.6% 11.6%	Building Relocation Paper, glass, plastics, metals See Section 9	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB) See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8  See Attachment #10 Actual tonnage See List of Conversion Factors Attachment #8 See List of Conversion Factors	City records Phone surveys Phone surveys  City records Hauler Records Phone surveys

### 9. Specific Non-Residential Sector Waste Audits-Top 10 Non-Residential Generators

Please complete this table for the top 10 non-residential generators that were surveyed. List each non-residential generator separately from largest to smallest, based on total diversion tons. Audit reference number ties to your audit sheets.

### (Form will perform all addition calculations).

Please provide an attachment 9 which includes all of the generators surveyed. Include for each generator (use type of generator in lieu of specific business name) diversion activity and material type and associated tonnage for each diversion activity/material type. Include copies of survey form(s) used.

Type of Non-realgential Generator	Audit Reference Number	Specific/Major Diversion Activities include material type (e.g/ paper recycling, grasscycling).* (List activities on one line)	Source Reduction Tans	Recycling Tons	Composting Tons	Total Diversion Tons	Percent of Total Generation (Total Diversion Tona/Total Generation in Section 8)	hod
Quarry	S-00-07	Recycling of asphalt and concrete		6534		6534	15.0% E P	
Plant Nursery	A-00-03	Recycling of plastics; Reuse of planting mix	3450	12	·	3462	7.9%	
Golfcourse	S-00-04	Grasscycling	1143			1143	2.6% P	
Road Construction	S-00-06	Recycling of asphalt		780		780	1.8% P	<del></del> -
Food Store	S-00-19	Recycling of OCC, plastic, aluminum, food waste		562		562	P	
Thrift Store	A-00-01	Reuse of used clothing and household items	340			340	O 8%	
Plant Nursery	A-00-02	Recycling OCC, pallets; Reuse pallets, plastic, paper	60	102		162	0.4% O	
Food Store	S-00-09	Recycling OCC, plastic, food waste		119		119	0.3% P	
General Store	S-00-12	Recycling OCC, paper, plastic		79		79	% 0.2% P	$\neg \neg$
Government	C-00-01	Grasscycling, and building relocation	70 4			70		
	Tol	als	5063	8188	3	13251	30.3%	

Summarize the non-residential diversion activities quantification methodology and applicable conversion factors.

- 1) Quarry: All data was obtained by a phone survey with the Accounts Receivable Administrator at the Parent Company. In 2000, 6,534 tons of concrete and asphalt were received for recycling. The materials were not calculated with any conversion factor, the tonnage is actual. This program has been running since 1997. They stated that these materials were received from contractors working in the City and constitute 85 percent of their recycling for 2000.
- 2)Plant Nursery: All data was obtained through a combination of visits and phone calls. All diversion activities and volumes were given by the General Manager. In 2000, they stated that 12 tons of plastic pots were sent to a recycler. The plastic pots are not fit for reuse as they may harbor fungus or disease that can be destructive to new crops. They stated that used planting mix (a blend of peat, bark, pearlite, and volcanic rock) is either sold or given to local contractors for reuse. They claimed 3,450 tons of planting mix had been taken for reuse that would otherwise have been taken patterns.

jot planting mix had been taken for reuse that would otherwise have been taken to the landfill.

- 3)Golfcourse: The tonnage for grasscycling was calculated using the CIWMB conversion factor that allows .35lbs/square foot of grass. The Superintendent at the golfcourse stated that there are 150 acres of grasscycling done that did not include the acreage for greens, tee off areas, buildings, or paved areas. The resulting source reduction amount is 1,143 tons.
- 4)Road Construction: This company recycles the asphalt that is removed in all street paving projects and road repair projects for the City. According to the Supervisor, in 2000, they removed 780 tons of asphalt that was reused in shoulder paving projects along the highways. The City began its asphalt recycling program in 1997 (See Attachment #11).
- 5) Large Grocery Store: All data was obtained by a phone survey with the Store Manager and from data provided through annual tonnages from recycling reports provided by the corporate offices. They reported 346.8 tons of cardboard, .8 tons of aluminum, 7.9 tons of plastics, and 206.9 tons of food and produce recycled through the corporate program. All materials were sent back to the corporate warehouse for recycling.
- 6) Thrift Store: This business was audited and all diversion activities and volumes were personally observed or estimated by the Assistant Coordinator. All items received at this store are prepared for sale on site. They stated that on a weekly basis they received 250 bags (40 gallon) of clothing. In order to obtain an annual tonnage, the 40 gallon bag measurement was converted to a 33 gallon bag measurement in order to use the LA County conversion factor for 30 lbs/33 gallon bag of clothing, the result is 236.4 tons of source reduction. They claimed that 25 to 30 (we used 27.5) pieces of various furniture items were received weekly (average 81.9 lbs/each LA Study and USEPA), resulting in 58.6 tons for the year. They stated that 45 medium sized boxes (weighing an average of 20 lbs/each) of household items (pots, pans, dishes, toys, and decor) were received weekly. This resulted in 36 tons of diversion. They stated that 10 small appliances (microwaves, irons, toasters, and stereos) were received weekly (average 17.5 lbs/each LA Study), resulting in 4.6 tons. They estimated that they received 1 computer per week. At 56 lbs/each (USEPA), this resulted in 1.5 tons of diversion. They stated that 200 books were received on a weekly basis. Using 1.48 lbs/book (average of hard cover/soft cover book LA Study), this results in 7.7 lbs for the year. They stated that 30 linen items were received weekly (average sheets/ towel/blankets 2.7 lbs/item LA Study) for 2.1 annual tons. Finally, they claimed that 4 to 5 (we used 3.5) bicycles were received per week (35.33 lbs/each LA Study), resulting in 3.2 annual tons. The total tons for the year are 339.9. The clothing, linen, and toys that were not kept for sale by the thrift store was donated to a larger thrift organization or given to a charity. The crutches and canes are donated to a hospital. All other items are kept until sold.
- 7) Plant Nursery: This business was audited and all diversion activities and volumes were personally observed or estimated by the General Manager and a recycling company used by the company for pallet recycling. For the year 2000, they reported to us that 30.5 tons of pallets from the company to find that 3,582 pallets (40 lbs/each USEPA), were received for the year. This resulted in 71.6 tons of recycling diversion. They stated that used paper is shredded and stored in 50 gallon bags for use in the packaging of outgoing shipments (we converted 50 gallon bags to 33 gallon bags before using the USEPA conversion factor). They stated that they use 10 bags per week (8 lbs/33 gallon bag -USEPA), resulting in 3.2 tons of source reduction. They stated that 600,000 planting pots (.19 lb/pot -USEPA), resulting in the source reduction of 57 tons of plastic. This company had a total of 162.2 tons of diversion.
- 8) Large Grocery Store: All data was obtained by a phone survey with the Store Manager and from data providing annual tonnages from recycling reports provided by the corporate offices. They reported 110.9 tons of cardboard and 1.3 tons of plastics recycled through the corporate program. They stated that 6.9 tons of food and produce were donated through the corporate program. The total diversion amount for this company is 119 tons. All materials were sent back to the corporate warehouse for recycling.
- 9) General Store: All data was obtained by a phone survey with the Store Manager. They stated that 3,040 lbs of cardboard and mixed paper are baled per week and sent back to the corporate warehouse for recycling, resulting in 79 tons for the year. They stated that 12.5 lbs of plastics were sent back for recycling per week, resulting in .3 tons for the year. The total recycling and source reduction claimed by this company are 79 tons for the year 2000.
- 10) Government: The data for source reduction for the City was gathered from City records. The grasscycling is done at the two schools within the City (185,400 square feet), resulting in 32.4 tons (.35lbs/square foot CIWMB). The remaining source reduction is from the relocation of a building that was inhibiting the enlargement of a local

Board Meeting
March 15-16, 2005
Attachment 3a

feet), resulting in 32.4 tons (.35lbs/square foot - CIWMB). The remaining source reduction is from the relocation of a building that was inhibiting the enlargement of a local church. The City funded the relocation of the building to a nearby park to avoid the demolition process. An estimate of the building weight (75,000 lbs - 37.5 tons) was provided by the engineer responsible for the movement.

- 10. For each restricted waste type [i.e., agricultural waste, inert solids, (e.g. concreter, asphalt, dirt, etc.) scrap metals and white goods (PRC Section 41781.2)] and associated program, please provide the following
- a. If the diversion program started on or after January 1, 1990, complete the following table.

  (Note: program name refers to one specific diversion program for that waste type; (e.g., diversion conducted by City Public Waste Dept).

Restricted Waste Type		Specific Program name	Year started	Tonnage	
Scrap Metal	-	Recycling of Ferrous Scrap Metal through recycling	1999	0	
Scrap Metal	-	Recycling of Ferrous Scrap Metal through recycling	1999	5	
Scrap Metal	~	Recycling of Ferrous Scrap Metal through recycling	1999	13	
Inert Solids	~	Recycling of asphalt through reuse in paving	1997	780	
Inert Solids	~	Recycling of concrete and asphalt through productio	1997	6534	
Pull Down for Waste Types	-	·			

<b>b.</b> If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, on a separate sheet, marked attac	inment 10b, provide
the following documentation: (Note: If documentation for a waste type and program has alread	dy been approved by
the Board, you do not have to provide an attachment 10b for that waste type and program.  Instead please provide date of Board approval of preciously submitted information.	(Date)
If documentation is not available, go to 10d.	

- How the diversion was the result of a local action taken by the jurisdiction, which specifically resulted in the diversion [PRC Sec. 41781.2 (c) (1)].
- That the amount of that waste type diverted from the jurisdiction in 1990 was less than or equal to the amount of that waste type disposed at a permitted disposal facility by the jurisdiction in any year before 1990. (Note: this criterion is applicable to the entire jurisdiction, not to individual programs [PRC Sec. 41781.2 (c) (2)]).
- The jurisdiction is implementing, and will continue to implement, the diversion programs in its Source Reduction and Recycling Element.

c. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is available (but not yet approved by the Board), complete the table below for each program claimed:

Restricted Waste Type		Specific Program Name	New base year or reporting year diversion tonnage
Pull Down for Waste Types	•		
Pull Down for Waste Types	<b> </b>		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types	-		

d. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is not available, please complete the table below for each program claimed. (*Note:* Only the difference between the new base year/reporting year and 1990 can be counted in the diversion rate calculation.)

Restricted Waste Type		Specific Program name	New base year or reporting year tonnage	1990 diversion tonnage	Difference	
Agricultural Waste	-	Manure used as fertilizer in local fa	31	0	31	
Scrap Metal	•	Recycling of Ferrous Scrap Metal t	4	44	0	
Pull Down for Waste Types	•					
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼					
Pull Down for Waste Types	_					
Pull Down for Waste Types	-					

### **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

#### **CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD**

**Base Year Modification Request Certification** 

Part 1: Generation Study - No Extrapolation Diversion Data

To request a substitution for a previously approved base-year used in calculating the diversion rate for your jurisdiction, please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance 1001 I Street, 9th Floor PO Box 4025 Sacramento, CA 95812-4025

General	Instru	ctions
---------	--------	--------

Please select the <b>ONE</b> choice below that best explains your request to the Board.
☐ 1. Use a recent generation-based study to calculate our current reporting-year
generation amount, but not officially change our existing Board-approved base year.
☑ 2. Use a recent generation-based study to officially change our
existing Board-approved base year to a new base year.
The cells on these sheets are protected except for the ones that need information. If you have problems
using these sheets, please contact your Office of Local Assistance representative.

	Jurisdiction Information ar ents must complete this section.	nd Certificat	tion			
	der penalty of perjury that the info , and that I am authorized to mak				rrect to	the best of my
Jurisdiction Na	ame		County			
Half Moon	Bay		San Mateo			
Authorized Sig	gnature		Title	Public Work	ks Direc	tor
Type/Print Na	me of Person Signing	Date		Phone ( )		( )
Bonnie Farrell			650-726-8270			6-8270
Person Comp	leting This Form (please print or type)		Title	Project Mar	nager	
Mark White						
Affiliation:	Pacific Waste Consulting Grou	р				
Mailing Address		City		State		ZIP Code
5714 Folsom Blvd. #240		Sacramento		CA		95819
E-mail addres	s <u>mark@pwcg.net</u>	•		•		

	on-Based Stu	udy for Existing or New Base Year	
Attach additional sheets if necessary—			number (e.g., 4).
Note: New base years must be representa			(0.9., .).
Current Board-approved base-year:	uve or a jurisu	2. Proposed new generation-based stu	ıdv vear.
1991		2000	day year.
1991		2000	
D. F. aleis have the assessment as a section of			San Panasal and
<ol><li>Explain how the proposed generation st diversion:</li></ol>	udy year is rep	presentative of average annual jurisdict	ion disposal and
The diversion in the City is not accurately	calculated by t	he Adjustment Method. Because of this	s problem, the diversion
is measured each year. The diversion refle	cted in this Ce	ertification form is for the year 2000. An	d may not reflect other
years. A new diversion survey is planned f		ŕ	•
4. Enter your diversion rates below.			1
Diversion rate calculated using		Diversion rate calculated using new	
existing base year	_	generation-based study	b. 43 %
For existing base year	13.7	For new generation based study	20.5
pounds/person/day based on		pounds/person/day based on	
generation	-1	generation	antial
Residential Non-Residentia		Residential Non-Resid	
generation 29 % Generation		generation 15% % generation	
Population existing generation-based s		Population new generation-based s	
<b>5.</b> If there is an increase between 4a and 4 current diversion implementation efforts. If			•
pounds/person/day, please explain how th			-
any examples, e.g. change in jurisdiction's			ation chorts and provide
	urrent diversio	in efforts in the City. The diversion rate	study includes
The new diversion rate is consistent with c diversion from City and hauler programs as		<del>-</del>	-
The new diversion rate is consistent with c	s well as that f	rom an extensive business survey that	included on-site waste
The new diversion rate is consistent with c diversion from City and hauler programs as	s well as that f	rom an extensive business survey that	included on-site waste
The new diversion rate is consistent with c diversion from City and hauler programs a audits of the larger generators in the City.	s well as that f	rom an extensive business survey that	included on-site waste
The new diversion rate is consistent with c diversion from City and hauler programs a audits of the larger generators in the City.	s well as that f	rom an extensive business survey that	included on-site waste
The new diversion rate is consistent with c diversion from City and hauler programs a audits of the larger generators in the City.	s well as that f	rom an extensive business survey that	included on-site waste
The new diversion rate is consistent with c diversion from City and hauler programs a audits of the larger generators in the City.	s well as that f	rom an extensive business survey that	included on-site waste
The new diversion rate is consistent with c diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.	s well as that f The study incl	rom an extensive business survey that udes diversion from programs that are	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the
The new diversion rate is consistent with c diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.	s well as that f The study inclu inclusion inclusions inclusions included including the state of	rom an extensive business survey that udes diversion from programs that are limited to the survey that are limited to the su	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the
The new diversion rate is consistent with condiversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. Original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of explain the specific reasons for the difference diversity.	s well as that f The study including The study including Study	rom an extensive business survey that udes diversion from programs that are in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentanple: new/improved curbside diversion	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.)
The new diversion rate is consistent with c diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of	s well as that f The study including  diversion rates nce. (For example the present the pr	rom an extensive business survey that udes diversion from programs that are in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentanple: new/improved curbside diversion oposed diversion rates. The increased diversion rates are increased diversion.	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.)
The new diversion rate is consistent with of diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of explain the specific reasons for the difference between the exinewly implemented or expanded diversion programs. The new or expanded programs include the diversion programs include the diversion programs.	s well as that f The study includiversion rates ace. (For example, and the programs as well as City asphalt reco	rom an extensive business survey that udes diversion from programs that are in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentanple: new/improved curbside diversion oposed diversion rates. The increased diversion that was misycling and grasscycling at the City maintain	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.) ersion rate is attributed to ssed in the original base and schools. The diversion
The new diversion rate is consistent with of diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of explain the specific reasons for the difference between the exinewly implemented or expanded diversion programs. The new or expanded programs include of missed in the base year include internal diversions.	diversion rates nce. (For exar sting and the pr grams as well as Dity asphalt rection and source	in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentance in end i	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.) ersion rate is attributed to ssed in the original base and schools. The diversion
The new diversion rate is consistent with of diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of explain the specific reasons for the difference between the exinewly implemented or expanded diversion programs. The new or expanded programs include the diversion programs include the diversion programs.	diversion rates nce. (For exar sting and the pr grams as well as Dity asphalt rection and source	in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentance in end i	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.) ersion rate is attributed to ssed in the original base and schools. The diversion
The new diversion rate is consistent with of diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of explain the specific reasons for the difference between the exinewly implemented or expanded diversion programs. The new or expanded programs include of missed in the base year include internal diversions.	diversion rates nce. (For exar sting and the pr grams as well as Dity asphalt rection and source	in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentance in end i	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.) ersion rate is attributed to ssed in the original base and schools. The diversion
The new diversion rate is consistent with of diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of explain the specific reasons for the difference between the exinewly implemented or expanded diversion programs. The new or expanded programs include of missed in the base year include internal diversions.	diversion rates nce. (For exar sting and the pr grams as well as Dity asphalt rection and source	in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentance in end i	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.) ersion rate is attributed to ssed in the original base and schools. The diversion
The new diversion rate is consistent with of diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of explain the specific reasons for the difference between the exinewly implemented or expanded diversion programs. The new or expanded programs include of missed in the base year include internal diversions.	diversion rates nce. (For exar sting and the pr grams as well as Dity asphalt rection and source	in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentance in end i	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.) ersion rate is attributed to ssed in the original base and schools. The diversion
The new diversion rate is consistent with of diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of explain the specific reasons for the difference between the exinewly implemented or expanded diversion programs. The new or expanded programs include of missed in the base year include internal diversions.	diversion rates nce. (For exar sting and the pr grams as well as Dity asphalt rection and source	in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentance in end i	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.) ersion rate is attributed to ssed in the original base and schools. The diversion
The new diversion rate is consistent with of diversion from City and hauler programs as audits of the larger generators in the City. original base year.  6. If the difference between the proposed of explain the specific reasons for the difference between the exinewly implemented or expanded diversion programs. The new or expanded programs include of missed in the base year include internal diversions.	diversion rates nce. (For exar sting and the pr grams as well as Dity asphalt rection and source	in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentance in end i	included on-site waste NOT reflected in the age points, please programs.) ersion rate is attributed to ssed in the original base and schools. The diversion

7. Disposal Tonnage: (enter values)	4417 <b>19470</b>		23887							
	Residential	Non-Residential	Total	•						
Please select the <b>ONE</b> choice below that best explains your <b>disposal</b> data and complete the required tables.										
a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Dispos	al Reporting Syste	em (No explanation required. Go	to Section 8.)							
□ b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit	of hauler and sel	lf-haul tonnage. (Please complete	e Reporting Year Tonnage Reque	est and Modification						
Certification sheet found at http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/lg	central/forms/rytn	ımdrq.doc)								
c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were at http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/lgcentral/forms/rytnmdrq.de	,	e complete Reporting Year Tonna	age Modification Request and Ce	rtification sheet found						

8. In the table below, list the summarized diversion activities, and diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. (Note: The Board expects the jurisdictions to be able to provide all back-up documentation, if requested) Include type of record and location—for example, weight tickets from transfer stations. This section should capture all diversion tonnage (form will perform all addition calculations). If any diversion is from restricted wastes, [agricultural wastes,inert solids (e.g., concrete, asphalt, dirt, etc.), white goods, and scrap metal] please identify those programs/waste types and fill out section 10. Please mark as Attachment 8 all copies of survey forms.

*Please provide detailed non-Residential wa					
Diversion Activity	Actual tons	Relative Percent to Total Generation	Specific material type(s) (List operation w/multiple materials in one box)	Specific conversion factor used (if any) and Source	Type of record and location of record
Please use the Board's program types. The program type glossary is online at: http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/lgcentral/paris/codes/reduce.htm	(A)	(A/Total Generation)			
Residential Activities:					1
Source Reduction					
Backyard composting					
Grasscycling		0.0%			
Other Residential source reduction	list each prog	ram separately)			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Enter program name		0.0%			
Subtotal Residential Source			•		
Reduction	0	0.0%			
Recycling					
Curbside Recycling	1042	2.5%	Paper, glass, plastics, metals	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Buyback centers	99	0.2%	Glass, plastics, metals, paper	Actual tonnage	DOR
Drop-off centers					
Other Residential recycling (list ea	ch program s	eparately)	-		-
]					
Transfer Station Diversion	13	0.0%	OCC, paper, plastics, metals, glass, C&D, and greenwaste	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
Enter program name					

Diversion Activity			operation w/multiple materials in one	Specific conversion factor used (if any) and Source	Type of record and location of record	
			DOX)			
Please use the Board's program types.		(8.77 - 4 - 1				
The program type glossary is online at:	(A)	(A/Total Generation)				
http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/lgcentral/paris	(~)					
/codes/reduce.htm						
Subtotal Residential Recycling	1154	2.7%				
Composting	1134	2.170	Į.			
Green waste drop-off	571	1.4%	Greenwaste	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records	
Curbside green waste	388	0.9%	Greenwaste	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records	
Christmas Tree program		0.070	<u> </u>	/ total tormage	Tiddioi Ttooordo	
Other Residential composting (list ea	ch program	separately)				
Carlor recordance compositing (not co	р д					
Enter program name				I		
Enter program name						
Enter program name						
Enter program name						
Enter program name						
Subtotal Residential Composting						
1	959	2.3%				
Subtotal Residential Diversion	2113	5.0%				
Non-Residential Activities:						
Source Reduction						
Non-Residential Waste Audits*	1888	4.5%		See Section 9		
Other non-Residential source reduct	ion (list each	program separa	tely)			
Schools	32	0.1%	Greenwaste	.35 lbs/square foot (CIWMB)	City records	
Enter program name					<u> </u>	
Enter program name						
Enter program name						
Enter program name						
Subtotal Non-Residential Source						
Reduction	1920	4.6%				
Recycling						
Non-Residential Waste Audits*	8084	19.2%		See Section 9		
Other non-Residential recycling (list	each progran	n separately)				
	4007	0.004	IB	TA -tt	III-ulaa Daa	
Commercial from Hauler	1397	3.3%	Paper, glass, plastics, metals OCC, paper, plastics, metals,	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records	
Transfer Station Diversion	12	0.0%	glass, C&D, and greenwaste	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records	
	12	0.0%	glass, C&D, and greenwaste	Actual tormage	Tradier Necords	
Subtotal Non-Residential Recycling	0400	99.50/				
	9493	22.5%	l			
Composting						

Diversion Activity	Actual tons	Relative Percent to Total Generation	Specific material type(s) (List operation w/multiple materials in one box)	Specific conversion factor used (if any) and Source	Type of record and location of record
Please use the Board's program types. The program type glossary is online at: <a href="http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/lgcentral/paris/codes/reduce.htm">http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/lgcentral/paris/codes/reduce.htm</a>	(A)	(A/Total Generation)			
Non-Residential Waste Audits*	1984	4.7%		See Section 9	
Other non-Residential composting (li	ist each prog	ram separately)	-		
_		_			
Transfer Station Self Haul Greenwaste	8	0.0%	Greenwaste	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Landfill Self Haul Greenwaste	1705	4.0%	Greenwaste	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
Subtotal Non-Residential					
Composting	3697	8.8%			
Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion	15110	35.8%			
Residential/Non- Residential					
Diversion Activities	740	4 70/	0	Astronomic	DDO
ADC	719	1.7%	Greenwaste and C&D	Actual tonnage	DRS
Sludge					
Scrap metal Construction and demolition	350	0.8%	C&D	Actual tonnage	Hauler Records
Landfill salvage	550	0.070	000	notali torinage	riadioi Nocolus
Subtotal Residential/Non-Residential					
diversion	1069	2.5%			
MITOIOIOII	1000	£10 /0			
Total Diversion Tons	18292	43.4%			
Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7	23887	56.6%			
Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis)	42179				

#### 9. Specific Non-Residential Sector Waste Audits-Top 10 Non-Residential Generators

Please complete this table for the top 10 non-residential generators that were surveyed. List each non-residential generator separately from largest to smallest, based on total diversion tons. Audit reference number ties to your audit sheets.

#### (Form will perform all addition calculations).

Please provide an attachment 9 which includes all of the generators surveyed. Include for each generator (use type of generator in lieu of specific business name) diversion activity and material type and associated tonnage for each diversion activity/material type. Include copies of survey form(s) used.

Type of Non-residential Generator	Audit Reference Number	Specific/Major Diversion Activities include material type (e.g. paper recycling, grasscycling). (List activities on one line)	Source Reduction Tons	Recycling Tons	Composting Tons	Total Diversion Tons	Percent of Total Generation (Total Diversion Tons/Total Generation in Section 8)	Survey Method Phone (P) Mail (M) On-site (O) Other
Quarry	S-00-07	Recycling of asphalt and concrete		6,534		6,534	15.5%	Р
Plant Nursery	A-00-03	Recycling of plastics; Composting of plants and planting mix		44	4.704	4.740	4.40/	0
Golfcourse	S-00-04	Crossovalina	1.000	11	1,701 76	1,712	4.1%	 
	S-00-04 S-00-06	Grasscycling	1,600	700	76	1,676	4.0%	P
Road Construction		Recycling of asphalt		780		780	1.8%	Р
Food Store	S-00-19	Recycling of OCC, plastic, food waste	23	562		584	1.4%	P
Thrift Store	A-00-01	Reuse of used clothing and household items	161			161	0.4%	0
Plant Nursery	A-00-02	Recycling OCC, pallets, plastic, paper	19	102		121	0.3%	0
Food Store	S-00-09	Recycling OCC, plastic, food waste		119		119	0.3%	Р
General Store	S-00-12	Recycling OCC, paper, plastic		79		79	0.2%	Р
Government	C-00-01	Grasscycling, and building relocation	70			70	0.2%	Р
	To	tals	1,873	8,186	1,777	11,836	28.1%	

Summarize the non-residential diversion activities quantification methodology and applicable conversion factors.

Concrete and asphalt, Business 1: Actual weights. Business 4: Actual weights.

Compost, Business 2: 230 - fifteen yard loads at one ton per yard = 3,450 tons.

Plastic, Business 2: 24 pallets of pastic pots at 900 lbs/pallet = 10.8 tons. Business 5: Actual weights. Business 6: 600,000 plastic pots at one ounce per pot = 18.75 tons.

Business 8: Actual weight. Business 9: 12.5 lbs per week times 52 weeks = .325 tons

Grasscycling, Business 3: 210 acres \* 7.62 tons/acres = 1600.2 tons. Business 10: 185,400 square feet times 0.35lbs/square = 32.445 tons.

Composting, Business 3: Grass clippings fron 10 acres \* 7.62 tons/acres = 76.2 tons

Cardboard, Business 5: Actual weights. Business 7: Actual weights. Business 8: Actual weights. Business 9: 4 bales a week at 750 lbs/bale at 52 weeks/year = 78 lbos

March 15-16, 2005
| Cardboard, Business 5: Actual weights. Business 7: Actual weights. Business 8: Actual weights. Business 9: 4 pales a week at 750 lbs/bale at 52 weeks/year = 78 | tons.

Food waste, Business 5: 125 lbs per day times 365 days = 22.8 tons. Business 8: Actual weights.

Clothing and household items, Business 8: survey using detailed list of donation categories for a week time 52 weeks = 160.72

Pallet recycling, Business 7: 3,582 pallets at 40 lbs per pallet = 71.6 tons of pallets to a pallet manufacuring for repair or building new pallets.

Paper, Business 7: fifty 50 gallon bags a year at 12.8 lbs a bag = 0.32 tons. Business 9: 40 lbs per week times 52 weeks = 1.04 tons.

Building relocation, Business 10: An estimate of the building weight (37.5 tons) was provided by the engineer responsible for the movement.

- **10**. For each restricted waste type [i.e., agricultural waste, inert solids, (e.g. concreter, asphalt, dirt, etc.) scrap metals and white goods (PRC Section 41781.2)] and associated program, please provide the following
- **a**. If the diversion program started on or after January 1, 1990, complete the following table. (Note: program name refers to one specific diversion program for that waste type; (e.g., diversion conducted by City Public Waste Dept).

Restricted Waste Ty	ре	Specific Program name	Year started	Tonnage
Scrap Metal	•	Recycling of Ferrous Scrap Metal through recycling	1999	0
Scrap Metal	•	Recycling of Ferrous Scrap Metal through recycling	1999	5
Scrap Metal	-	Recycling of Ferrous Scrap Metal through recycling	1999	13
Inert Solids	•	Recycling of asphalt through reuse in paving	1997	780
Inert Solids		Recycling of concrete and asphalt through productio	1997	6534
Pull Down for Waste Types				

b. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, on a separate sheet, marked attachment 10b, p	rovide
the following documentation: (Note: If documentation for a waste type and program has already been appro	oved
by the Board, you do not have to provide an attachment 10b for that waste type and program.  Instead please provide date of Board approval of preciously submitted information.	(Date)
If documentation is not available, go to 10d.	

- How the diversion was the result of a local action taken by the jurisdiction, which specifically resulted in the diversion [PRC Sec. 41781.2 (c) (1)].
- That the amount of that waste type diverted from the jurisdiction in 1990 was less than or equal to the amount of that waste type disposed at a permitted disposal facility by the jurisdiction in any year before 1990. (Note: this criterion is applicable to the entire jurisdiction, not to individual programs [PRC Sec. 41781.2 (c) (2)]).
- The jurisdiction is implementing, and will continue to implement, the diversion programs in its Source Reduction and Recycling Element.

**c.** If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is available (but not yet approved by the Board), complete the table below for each program claimed:

Restricted Waste Typ	е	Specific Program Name	New base year or reporting year diversion tonnage
Pull Down for Waste Types	<b>-</b>		
Pull Down for Waste Types	<b>—</b>		
Pull Down for Waste Types	<b>-</b>		
Pull Down for Waste Types	•		
Pull Down for Waste Types	<b>-</b>		

**d.** If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is not available, please complete the table below for each program claimed. (*Note*: Only the difference between the new base year/reporting year and 1990 can be counted in the diversion rate calculation.)

Restricted Waste Ty	pe	Specific Program name	New base year or reporting year tonnage	1990 diversion tonnage	Difference
Agricultural Waste	•	Manure used as fertilizer in local fa	31	0	31
Scrap Metal	•	Recycling of Ferrous Scrap Metal the	4	44	0
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				

	Attachme	nt 9		Half Mod	on Bay								
											Recycling		
Ref #	Total Div	Recycli	ng	SR		Compost		OCC	paper	AL	Fe plas	stics	organics
A-00-01	160.7	340.0	0.0	160.7	340.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
A-00-02		162.3	102.1		60.2		0.0	30.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S-00-02		20.0	20.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S-00-04	1672.8	<del>-1143.5</del>	0.0	1600.2	<del>1143.5</del>	76.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S-00-05		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S-00-06		780.0	780.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S-00-07		6534.5	6534.5		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S-00-09		119.1	119.1		0.0		0.0	110.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	6.9
S-00-11		26.5	0.0		26.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S-00-12		79.3	79.3		0.0		0.0	78.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
S-00-13		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
A-00-03	3460.8	<del>3462.0</del> 10.8	<del>12.0</del>		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 10.	8 <del>12.0</del>	0.0
S-00-15		4.0	0.0		4.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S-00-16		4.5	0.0		4.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S-00-18		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S-00-19	584.4	<del>562.4</del> 354.7	<del>355.5</del>	22.8	0.0		206.9	346.8	0.0	0.0 0.8	0.0	7.9	0.0
S-00-20		3.0	0.0		3.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
S-00-01		1.7	1.0		0.7		0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
S-00-17		30.4	25.6		4.8		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0
S-00-03		3.8	3.5		0.3		0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0
S-00-08		5.2	5.2		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	0.0
S-00-10		20.8	20.8		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0
S-00-14		27.7	27.7		0.0		0.0	27.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	13705.1	<del>13330.7</del> 8084.3	8086.3	1887.7	<del>1587.5</del>	3733.1 <del>30</del>	<del>556.9</del>	594.7	1.0	0.0	23.1	9.5	6.9

						SR						Composti	ng	
inerts	tires p	allets	OCC	paper	plastics	Greenwa	ste	Textiles		Organincs	tires	Greenwast	Organinc	
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	160.72	<del>340.0</del>	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	71.6	0.0	3.2	57.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1600.2	<del>1143.5</del>		0.0	0.0		76.2 <del>0.0</del>		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
780.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
6534.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	26.5		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		<del>3450</del>
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	4.5		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	22.8 <del>0.0</del>	0.0	0.0		206.9
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	23.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
7314.5	32.2	91.6	0.1	7.2	60.0	1600.2	<del>1143.5</del>	160.7	340.0	53.8 <del>31.0</del>	5.7	76.2 <del>0.0</del>	1907.9	<del>3656.9</del>

Table A: Site Visit Verification Findings, Diversion Tonnage and Deductions for the City of Half Moon Bay Material Verification Type/Program **NBY Claim** Findings Identification/Generator **Activity** (tons) **NBY Methodology** (tons) Verification Findings/Site Visit Methodology Confirmed with accounting that the quarry received 7.687.63 tons of material based on actual weight tickets. They did not break out by weight ticket the origin on the material, but they estimated that 85% is from the City of Half Moon Bay. Operations manager at quarry confirmed that they started this activity 1997. (7,687.63 x Asphalt and 0.85 = 6.534 tons) The tonnage was also 6,534.00 Actual tons provided concrete recycling 6.534.00 determined to be representative. S-00-07 - Quarry 6,534.00 6,534.00 **Total - Quarry** Production supervisor confirmed that approximately 230 truck loads (15 yards per truck load) of composted potting soil and plants were given or sold to contractors in 2000. This activity has been ongoing for the past seven years. Prior to that the material was disposed of. They used a conversion factor of one ton per cubic yard. As a part of the verification, the supervisor got sample load weights to confirm Tonnage provided by Operations Manager. 230 truckloads \* 15 cubic the conversion factor. As a result, the vards per truckload \* 2000 lbs per conversion factor was reduced based on the A-00-03 - Plant Nursery 3,450.00 cubic yard. 1,701.00 actual sample weight. Planting mix reuse Production supervisor confirmed that approximately 24 pallets of plastic pots were shipped to Blue Line transfer station for recycling in 2000. The supervisor estimated that the pot weighed 900 lbs per pallet. (24 pallets x 900 lbs Tonnage provided by Operations 10.80 = 10.8 tonsPlastic recycling 12.00 Manager Total - Plant Nurserv 3,462.00 1,711.80

Watell 13-10, 2003	Material			Verification	7 ttucimient 1
	Type/Program	NBY Claim		Findings	
11	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		NDV Mothedology	_	Verification Findings/Site Visit Methodology
Identification/Generator	Activity	(tons)	NBY Methodology	(tons)	Verification Findings/Site Visit Methodology
					Course Superintendent confirmed that there are
					two 18 hole course with total of 220 mowable
					acres. 210 acres are grasscycled. (210 acres x
S-00-04 - Golf Course	Grasscycling	1,143.00	150 acres times 7.62 tons per acres	1,600.20	7.62 tons/acres = 1600.2 tons)
					Course Superintendent confirmed that there are
					two 18 hole course with total of 220 mowable
					acres. Another 10 acres are mowed and the
					grass is composted. (10 acres x 7.62 tons/acres
	Composting	0.00			= 76.20 tons)
Total - Golf Course		1,143.00		1,676.40	
					Per Area Superintendent, road grindings have
			Actual weight of asphalt that was used		been reused since 1992 when equipment first
			on the highway to replace what was		became available to them. The tonnage is
S-00-06 - Road Construction	Asphalt recycling		ground off.		based on actual weights of the asphalt
Total - Road Construction		780.00		780.00	
	Cardboard				Confirmed activity and weights with store
S-00-19 - Food Store	recycling	346.80	Corporate distribution center report	346.80	management from corporate reports.
					Confirmed activity and weights with store
	Produce Recycling	173.40	Corporate distribution center report		management from corporate reports.
	Bone & Fat				Confirmed activity and weights with store
	recycling	33.46	Corporate distribution center report		management from corporate reports.
					Confirmed activity and weights with store
	Plastic recycling	7.90	Corporate distribution center report	7.90	management from corporate reports.
					Per store management, aluminum cans are
					recycled through the certified buyback center
					located on the stores parking lot. Tonnage is
	Aluminum				deducted because it is already captured in the
	recycling	0.80	30 lbs per week times 52 weeks.	0.00	buyback centers tonnage
					Per store management, 125 lbs of baked goods
	Baked goods				are donated on a daily basis to church food
	donations	0.00		22.80	bank. (125 lbs x 365 = 22.8 tons)
Total - Food Store		562.36		584.36	

	Material			Verification	
	Type/Program	NBY Claim		Findings	
Identification/Generator	Activity	(tons)	NBY Methodology	(tons)	Verification Findings/Site Visit Methodology
					Confirmed activity and reviewed detailed list of
					donation categories with thrift store
			Items broken into 14 category and		management, used conversion table used in
	Clothing and		then volumes and weights were		submitted study. Because the estimates staff
	household item		estimated on a weekly basis with store		received on the amount of donations were lower,
A-00-01 - Thrift Store	reuse		management.	160.72	the tonnage was reduced.
Total - Thrift Store		340.00		160.72	
					Confirmed activity with facility management and
	Cardboard		Actual weights provided by non-		weight tickets. Cardboard is baled and sold to
A-00-02 - Plant Nursery	recycling	30.50	franchise recycling company.		Non-BFI recycler.
					Confirmed activity with store management. 298
	D 11 / 12		Actual weights provided by non-		pallets on average are given to pallet
	Pallet recycling	/1.60	franchise recycling company.	/1.60	manufacturer for repair and reuse.
					Confirmed activity and number of pots with
					management. The conversion factor for the pots
					was verified with an actual weight. The actual
	Plastic recycling	57 00	600,000 pots a year		weight was less so the tonnage was reduced.
	r laddo rodydiirig	07.00	Ten 50 gallon bags of shredded paper		Per management only fifty 50-gallon bags a year
	Paper recycling	3.20	a week at 12.8 lbs a bag.		are recycled.
Total - Plant Nursery		162.30		121.17	
					The conversion factor for the garage sales is not
					deemed accurate. In addition, restricted waste
					requirements could not be met and there is also
	l				possible double counting on the garage sale
Garage Sales	Household Items		0.35 tons per yard sale (CIWMB)		materials. Therefore, this tonnage was deducted.
Subtotal - Garage Sales		97.00		0.00	

Board Meeting March 15-16, 2005

Islandiča od on 10 spanska	Material Type/Program	NBY Claim		Verification Findings	Varification Findings/Site Visit Mathadalogy
Identification/Generator	Activity	(tons)	NBY Methodology	(tons)	Verification Findings/Site Visit Methodology
	Greenwast and		DRS shows 2992 tons of ADC at Ox Mountain LF. 2273 tons of greenwaste was reported collected at the drop-off at the Ox Mountain LF and was subtracted for a remaining 719 tons of ADC, inorder not to double		
ADC	C&D	719.00	count greenwaste.	719.00	Staff confirmed this calculation.
Subtotal - ADC		719.00		719.00	
Grand Totals		13,080.66		11,568.45	

Agenda Item 14 Attachment 4

### CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

### **Resolution 2005-72**

Consideration Of A Request To Change The Base Year To 2000 For The Previously Approved Source Reduction And Recycling Element For The City Of Half Moon Bay, San Mateo County

WHEREAS, Public Resources Code Sections 41031 (Cities) and 41331 (Counties) require that information submitted by a jurisdiction on the quantities of solid waste it has generated, diverted and disposed, shall include data as accurate as possible to enable the Integrated Waste Management Board (Board) to accurately measure the jurisdiction's achievement of the diversion requirement pursuant to PRC Section 41780; and

WHEREAS, the City of Half Moon Bay (City) of San Mateo County (County) submitted documentation requesting to change its base year to 2000 using the data from its previously approved 2000 generation study, which it claims is as accurate as possible; and

**WHEREAS**, a portion of the diversion tonnage originally claimed by the City has been modified as a result of staff verification, and is reflected in the staff-revised certification.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board hereby approves the base-year change with the staff-recommended changes as noted in this item to 2000 for the City of Half Moon Bay of San Mateo County.

### **CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned Executive Director, or his designee, of the California Integrated Waste Management Board does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the California Integrated Waste Management Board held on March 15-16, 2005.

Dated:

Mark Leary Executive Director